

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9115 of 2011

=====

M/S Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd., Telecom District Hajipur, through its
AGM (A) Ravindra Kumar, son of Sri Bisheswar Yadav aged 43 years,
resident of Main Telephone Exchange, Ramashish Chowk, Police Station -
Hajipur, District - Hajipur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Regional Labour Commissioner
(Central), Patna Maurya Lok Complex, A Block, Patna
2. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Patna Maurya Lok Complex,
A Block, Patna
3. The Labour Enforcement Officer (Central), Patna II Maurya Lok
Complex, A Block, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar @ Alok Kumar Shahi
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Addl. Soliciter General
Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 10-08-2015 Heard Sri Alok Kumar @ Alok Kumar Shahi, learned
counsel for the petitioner and Sri S.D.Sanjay, Addl. Soliciter
General, who has appeared on behalf of all the Respondents.

The petitioner i.e. M/S Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. has
approached this Court, invoking its writ jurisdiction under Article
226 of the Constitution of India, with a prayer to quash a notice
issued to him i.e. notice dated 29/30th March, 2010, whereby the
petitioner was directed to file show cause.

Of course, in the prayer portion, a colour has been
given that it was a demand notice of Rs.13,06,500/-. On perusal of

Annexure-1 to the writ petition, it appears that it is a show cause notice asking the petitioner to file show cause. The Court is of the opinion that notice has been issued to file show cause and, accordingly, the petitioner was required to approach the authority concerned and explain whatever he wanted. At least in a case of issuance of notice, writ jurisdiction of this Court may not invoke.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--