

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9241 of 2011

=====

Rajendra Prasad Singh Yadav, s/o Late Basant Gope, resident of village
budhu Chowk, P.S. Fatuha, District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. District Magistrate, Patna
3. District Land Acquisition officer, Patna
4. Additional District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna
5. Jamuni Devi, w/o Raja Ram Singh, resident of village Budhu Chak,
P.S. Fatuha, District Patna, at present Resident of Mohalla –
Mirjapur, Nota, Railway Colony (Fatuha) P.O. and P.S. Fatuha,
district Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyamlendra Kumar

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC
to GA No. 10.

In the present writ petition the petitioner has claimed
that in respect of the land in question, which was acquired the
petitioner had filed a Title Suit. However, the compensation
amount of the said land was being proposed to be given to the
respondent no. 5.

The court is of the opinion that in view of the statutory
provisions under the Land Acquisition Act, the petitioner is
having remedy available to him. He can approach the authority
concerned.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with

liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy as provided under the Act.

It goes without saying that period consumed by the petitioner in pursuing the present matter i.e. from 19.5.2011 till date shall be excluded while considering the limitation matter.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--