

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.101 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8472 of 2013

=====

1. Commissioner Of Income Tax (TDS), Patna 5th Floor, Central Revenue Building (Annexe), B.C. Marg, Patna,
2. Assistant Commissioner Of Income Tax (TDS) Circle, 6th Floor, Central Revenue Building (Annexe), B.C. Marg, Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Power Grid Corporation Of India A Government Company Incorporated Under The Companies Act, Having Its Registered Office At B - 9 Qutab Institute Area, P.O. And P.S. Katwaria Sarai, New Delhi - 110016 And Easter Region Transmission System - 1, H.Q. At Alakar Palace, Boring Road, P.O. Boaring Road, P.S. Srikrishnapuri, District - Patna Through Its Dy. General Manager (Commercial) Er - 1 Sri A.K. Verma, Son Of Late Vishwanath Prasad Verma Resident Of I.A.S. Colony, Bailey Road, Patna, P.O. Danapur Cantt., P.S. Rupaspur, District - Patna
2. Bihar State Electric Board (Known As Bihar State Power Holding Co. Ltd.) Having Its Office Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Archana Sinha @ Archana Shahi, Sr. SC.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 23-09-2015 The defects, as pointed out by Registry, are ignored.

Heard Mrs. Archana Sinha @ Archana Sahi, learned
Senior Standing Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

Having perused the order, dated 20.09.2013, we find
that the order, under review, is a reasoned order and no error,
apparent on the face of the record, has been pointed out. The

review petition challenges the correctness of the conclusions arrived at in the order, dated 20.09.2013. If this contention is correct, it would not make out a case for review. This apart, we are informed at bar that following the directions issued by the Court by its order, dated 20.09.2013, aforementioned, the entire sum of Rs.11,61,20,158/- has already been paid to the respondent, Power Grid Corporation of India, i.e., the writ petitioner.

In view of the above, we see no reason to proceed with the review petition.

The review petition is, therefore, dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Mkr./-

U			
---	--	--	--