

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13911 of 2014

Manoj Kumar Jha @ Manoj Kumar, son of Late Sripati Jha, resident of village-
Morwadih, P.S. Musrigharari, District Samastipur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation, Patna
3. The Chief of Finance, Bihar State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Patna
4. The District Manager, State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Samastipur
5. The District Magistrate, Samastipur

.... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. AJEET PRATAP SINGH, SC15
For the BSFC : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-08-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Counsel for the State.

2. This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to make payment of the bills of the petitioner amounting to Rs.72,70,127.00

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that an amount of Rs. 72,70,127.00 is outstanding in respect of transporting and handling of paddy from 2011 to 2013 made by the petitioner and for which he has filed several representations before the Respondent Nos. 2, 4 and 5 which are said to be pending.

4. In view of the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with consent, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned Respondent with a fresh representation, who will examine the matter and take steps for



payment of the dues of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. If the amount is not paid to the petitioner within the said period then he would be entitled for simple interest at the rate of 10% per annum to be calculated from the date it became due till the date of its final payment. It is further clarified that if the entire claim of the petitioner is not found admitted then he should be communicated the reasons for reaching such conclusion.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--