

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.5947 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -586 Year- 2013 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

Praveen Pankaj Son Of Sri Surendra Kumar Sinha Resident Of Village-Flat
No.-301, Surya Lok Apartment, Budha Colony, P.S.-Budha Colony,
District-Patna.

.. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Swati Nigam wife of Praveen Pankaj, Daughter of Sri Santosh Nigam,
resident of flat no. 301, Surya Lok Apartment, Budha Colony, PS Budha
colony, District Patna, at present 22A, Muktaram Babu Street, Bara
Bazar, PS Bara Bazar, Distt. Kolkata (West Bengal) 700007

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S.Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

5 02-07-2015

This application under Section 438 CrPC is filed with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner who is an accused in relation to Complaint Case No. 586C/2013 for the offence punishable under Sections 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act pending in the court of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patna.

Apprehending his arrest, the petitioner filed ABP No.3383/2013 in the court of learned Sessions Judge, Patna. The same was rejected through order dated 6.1.2014.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

The allegation against the petitioner is referable to Section 498A IPC. In the recent past, the Hon’ble Supreme Court held that arrest and continued detention, in cases registered under Section 498A IPC, is almost unwarranted. Therefore, it is not at all necessary to arrest an accused in a case of that nature.

Hence, this application is allowed. It is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner, namely, Praveen Pankaj shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patna, in connection with Complaint Case No. 586C/2013, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) CrPC.

It is further directed that in case the complainant-wife intends to join the petitioner, he shall be under obligation to take and maintain her. If the petitioner refuses to maintain her, the anticipatory bail shall stand cancelled.

(L. Narasimha Reddy,CJ)

mrl

U		T	
---	--	---	--