

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10409 of 2015

=====

Rumi Khatun, W/o Sri Mohammed Mahtab Alam, R/o Mohalla- Nayatola,
P.O. + P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Project, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Phulwarisharif, Patna.
7. Talat Parveen, Wife of Amanul Haque, R/o Mohalla- Nayatola, P.O. + P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District-Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nadeem Seraj, GP-20.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2015 Having regard to the fact that the petitioner has a grievance with regard to her being not selected on the post of Anganbari Sevika and that the respondent no. 7, despite being inferior in merit, has been selected and appointed, the remedy for her, instead of rushing to this Court, was before the competent authority, as prescribed in the Anganbari Sevika guidelines. Therefore, this writ application is premature.

When such an observation has been made, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ application in order to enable the petitioner to move before the competent authority as against the selection and appointment of

the respondent no. 7 as well as for making her appointment.

That being so, this writ application is permitted to be withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--