

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9575 of 2010

=====

Sangeeta Vishwas, W/O Sri Anshu Kamat, R/O Nagar Parishad, Ward No. 24, Supaul, P.S. Supaul, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Commissioner, State Election Commission, Patna, Bihar, having its Office at Sone Bhawan, Beer Chand Patel Marg, R- Block, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. District Magistrate Cum District Election Officer, (Nagar Parishad), Supaul.
5. The Secretary, Bihar State Election Commission, Patna, having its Office at Sone Bhawan, Beer Chand Patel Marg, R- Block, Patna.
6. Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Supaul.
7. The Chief Councilor, Nagar Parishad, Supaul.
8. The Deputy Chief Councilor, Nagar Parishad, Supaul.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad, SC-10

Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, AC to SC-10

For the Respondent State Election Commission: Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh

For the Respondent No.6 : Dr. Amitesh Kumar

Mr. Raghunath Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-04-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition.

However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that with the passage of time the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--