

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16324 of 2010

=====

1. Maheshwar Prasad Narain Singh,
2. Dineshwar Prasad Narain Singh
Both sons of late Nagendra Prasad Narain Singh, R/O Vill. Madhuban, P.S.-
Madhuban, Distt.- East Champaran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari
3. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari
4. The Block Development Officer, Madhuban, East Champaran, Motihari
5. Pramod Kumar Singh, the then Block Development Officer, Madhuban,
East Champaran, Motihari
6. The Anchal Adhikari, Madhuban, East Champaran, Motihari

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 03-04-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition. However, learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, by referring to the averments made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State authorities, submits that the reliefs prayed for on behalf of the petitioner cannot be granted in the present proceeding, as the lands in question do not belong to the petitioner, rather lands in question belong to the State of Bihar over which a Pucca road has been constructed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the present writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Arvind/-

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

U			
---	--	--	--