

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.30751 of 2015**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -91 Year- 2015 Thana -NALANDA COMPLAINT CASE  
District- NALANDA (BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

Chandan Kumar, son of Rajo Mahto @ Rajendra Mahto, resident of  
Village-Durgapur, P.S.-Giriak, District-Nalanda

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1.State of Bihar

2. Sunita Kumari @ Sunita Sinha, wife of Chandan Kumar, resident of  
Village-Durgapur, P.S.-Giriak, District-Nalanda, presently daughter of  
Birendra Prasad, resident of Village-Mahmadpur, P.S.-Asthawan, District-  
Nalanda

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Hansraj, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh(APP)

For O.P. No.2 : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      21-12-2015                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
  
learned counsel appearing on behalf of O.P. No.2 as well as  
  
the learned counsel appearing for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in  
connection with Complaint Case No.91(C) of 2015 for  
allegedly having committed the offence under Sections 498A,  
323 and 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Shri Anil Kumar, learned counsel appearing on  
behalf of O.P. No.2 submits that the complainant Sunita  
Kumari is living with her husband Chandan Kumar (the  
petitioner herein).

In view of the fact that both the parties have now resolved the issue, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif, in connection with Complaint Case No.91(C) of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

It is made clear that if at all there is any recurrence of untoward act on behalf of the petitioner so as to create any prejudice to O.P. No.2, it shall be open to her to move this Court for seeking appropriate redressal of the grievances.

**(Anjana Mishra, J)**

PNM

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|