

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Civil Review No.352 of 2014
IN
LPA 584 of 2012**

=====

1. Manju @ Manju Sharma Daughter of Ram Sagar Sharma Resident of Village -
Bariyarpur, P.O. + P.S. Sadanandpur, District - Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The D.G.P. of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The A.D.G., Darbhanga Zone, Darbhanga
4. The D.I.G. of Police, Kosi Range, Saharsa
5. The S.P., Saharsa

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ebrahim Kabir, Adv.
Mrs. Shruti Sinha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kumar Singh, AC to PAAG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI)

Date: 23-09-2015

Re: I.A. No.8009 of 2014

I.A. No.8009 of 2014 has been filed by the petitioner,
under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, seeking condonation of delay
of thirty-four days in preferring the present review application
against the order, dated 15.07.2014, passed in L.P.A. No.584 of
2012.

Heard Mr. Ebrahim Kabir, learned Counsel, appearing
on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kumar Singh,

learned Assistant Counsel to learned Principal Additional Advocate General, Bihar, appearing for the Respondents.

Having considered the reasons assigned in the present petition seeking condonation of delay and having heard the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is satisfied that the petitioner was prevented by sufficient causes from preferring the application within time.

In view of the above, the delay of thirty-four days, in preferring the review application, is hereby condoned.

I.A. No.8009 of 2014 stands disposed of.

Civil Review No.352 of 2014

Heard Mr. Ebrahim Kabir, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kumar Singh, learned Assistant Counsel to learned Principal Additional Advocate General, Bihar, appearing for the Respondents.

By the order, dated 15.07.2014, passed in L.P.A. No.584 of 2012, while dismissing the appeal, the Court had assigned reasons, which we find cogent. No such error has been pointed out, on behalf of the review petitioner, which would warrant review of the order, dated 15.07.2014

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the review petition stands dismissed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--