

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1358 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6228 of 2014

=====

Ajay Kumar Gupta, son of Satish Kumar Gupta, Resident of Village-
Chhapawa, P.O- Fulwariya, P.S- Sugauli, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Amir Subhani, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Upper Mission Director, Bihar Administrative Reform Mission Society, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Jitendra Prasad Srivastave, son of not known to the petitioner, the then District Magistrate- Cum- Collector, East Champaran, Motihari.
4. Madhu Sudan Prasad Srivastava, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Senior Deputy Collector, R.T.P.S Cell, East Champaran, Motihari.
5. Sunil Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Deputy Collector, Land Reform, Areraj, East Champaran, Motihari.
6. Vijay Shankar Pandey, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Sub-Divisional Officer, Areraj, East Champaran, Motihari.
7. Punni Lal Mathur, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Circle Officer, Paharpur, East Champaran, Motihari.
8. Raj Kishore Dinkar, son of not known to the petitioner, the then Block Development Officer, Block- Paharpur, District- East Champaran, Motihari.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Adv.
Mrs.Bela Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kamlesh Prasad, AC to SC-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-12-2015 When the matter has been taken up for consideration, a supplementary show cause on behalf of the opposite party nos.1 to 8 is being filed annexing the copy of the order dated 04.12.2015 passed by the District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari (Annexure-A), whereby the representation filed on behalf of the petitioner, in the light of the order of this Court, has been disposed of.

In above view of the matter, this Court is of the

opinion that no case for initiating a proceeding of contempt is made out against the opposite parties.

In the result, the present MJC application is dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner, if so advised, shall be at liberty to challenge the validity and correctness of the aforesaid order dated 04.12.2015 (Annexure-A) before an appropriate forum/court.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--