

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1275 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1519 Year- 2013 Thana -DARBHANGA COMPLAINT CASE
District- DARBHANGA

=====

Jitendra Kumar Raut @ Jitendra Raut, son of Mahendra Raut.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rekha Devi, wife of Jitendra Raut.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner being husband of the opposite party no. 2
apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1519
of 2013 in which cognizance has been taken for the offences
punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and ¾ of
Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the court of Sub Divisional
Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga.

Without entering into the merit of this case, this
petition stands disposed of with direction to petitioner to surrender
and seek regular bail before the court below within three weeks
from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order to the
court concerned and if petitioner does so, the concerned court shall

release the petitioner on provisional bail for the period of three months on the day of his surrender on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned court and after releasing the petitioner, the concerned court shall issue notice to the petitioner as well as opposite party no. 2 fixing date for conciliation and shall take all possible steps to patch up the dispute of the parties within the above stated period of three months. It goes without saying that if the concerned court succeeds in his attempt, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner shall be confirmed by the concerned court itself, but if the concerned court fails in his attempt due to rigid and non cooperative approach of the petitioner, the provisional bail granted to him shall not be confirmed by the concerned court and in that event petitioner shall be taken into custody by the concerned court. It is needless to say that, if, the concerned court fails in his attempt due to non cooperative and rigid approach of the opposite party no. 2, the concerned court shall confirm the provisional bail granted to the petitioner.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

SHAHZAD/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--