

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.25652 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -304 Year- 2001 Thana -EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT District-
EAST CHAMPARAN (MOTIHARI)

=====

Ram Ekbal Rut, son of late Mathura Raut, Resident of Village- Sisahani,
P.S. Pakridayal, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Pasupati Narayan, son of Chandrika Prasad, R/O Sishoni, P.S. Pakridayal
Dist. East Champaran. A/P R/O Chhatauni, Badhari Tola, P.S. Chhatouni,
Motihari, Dist. East Champaran.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2015

Heard both sides.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case under
Sections 420, 467, 468 and other sections of the Indian Penal
Code.

The petitioner and other accused persons are alleged
to have brought in existence a forged sale deed. It is submitted that
the complainant entered into a compromise with other accused
persons and filed the same in the year 2003. The petitioner thought
that the case must have been dead and, that is why, he did not
appear. Although the case is of petty nature and out and out a civil
dispute, the petitioner did not appear in court for 14 years even
after issuance of summons and moved the court for grant of

anticipatory bail after 14 years.

Considering the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in Complaint Case No. 304 of 2001. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

The petitioner, if so advised may surrender in the court below and pray for regular bail. The court below shall consider the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner without being prejudiced from this order and taking into consideration that the dispute is of civil nature and substantially the case has been compromised.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Dilip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--