

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.49463 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -117 Year- 2014 Thana -NARPATGANJ District- ARRARIA

- =====
- 1. Md. Nazam Son of Late Bechan
 - 2. Md. Ruhul @ Rahul Ansari Son of Md. Nazam null
 - 3. Md. Noulez Son of Md. Nazam
 - 4. Md. Baddi Son of Late Masi
 - 5. Md. Tousique @ Tousique Son of Md. Baddi
 - 6. Md. Pappu Son of Md. Baddi
 - 7. Md. Tousif Son of Riyaz
 - 8. Md. Touquir @ Talukib Son of Md. Riyaz
 - 9. Md. Riyaz son of Late Wali All are resident of village - Gokhlapur, Ward No. 05 P.S.- Narpatganj, Dist- Araria

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy-I(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 117/2014 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 447/341/323/324/379/504/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioners No. 2 and 7 have already been taken into custody as such the prayer for bail has become infructuous and, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and after perusing the materials available on

record, let the petitioners, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8, in the event of their arrest / surrender within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the each amount to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 117/2014 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr. P.C.

So far as petitioner No. 9 Md. Riyaz is concerned, noting the fact that there are several cases pending against him, I am not inclined to grant him anticipatory bail. It is, accordingly, rejected.

However, if he surrenders before the Court below his prayer for bail may be considered on the same day without being prejudiced by the present order.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--