

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1439 of 2015

=====

Arun Kumar Pandey son of H.S. Pandey, resident of Mohalla- Bekapur,
P.S- Kotwali, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Chairman Bihar State Electricity Board , Patna, Bihar
2. The Managing Director, South Bihar Power Holding Company, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Account Officer, Electric Supply Division, Lakhisarai Division, Lakhisarai.
4. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric supply Division , Lakhisarai.
5. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Electrical Supply Sub-Division, Surajgarha.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rao Kundan Kumar Karmvir, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-02-2015

2 12-02-2015 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to make necessary correction in paragraph 1(i) of the writ application with respect to the description of the admitted dues in course of the day.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

Petitioner seeks direction for payment of his admitted dues of Rs. 1,77,340/- which includes the security money.

It is submitted that the petitioner was given work order by the respondents for installation of electrical meters and he has completed the work in the year 2012 itself but the aforesaid

admitted dues have not been paid to him despite several representations having been made.

In view of the limited prayer made at the time of hearing, this writ application is being disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Lakhisarai (respondent no. 4) along with all the necessary documents etc. in support of his claim. On such representation having been filed by the petitioner, let the Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Lakhisarai (respondent no. 4) take a decision within a period of four weeks from the date of its filing. In case he comes to the conclusion that the admitted dues are to be paid to the petitioner, that should be paid to him within a further period of two months. The decision taken by the respondent no. 4 should be immediately communicated to the petitioner.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

SC/-

U			
---	--	--	--