

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3517 of 2001

=====

Anup Lal Tuddu son of Kalu Tuddu, resident of village Devi Nagar Samudi
Rahikayl, P.S.K.Nagar, District Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Additional Collector, Patna
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Purnea
4. Sk. Rakad
5. Sk..Kafil, both sons of Mushibar, resident of village Choti Banbagh
6. Sk. Eqbal son-in-law of Mushibar
7. Bibi Zaibun daughter of Mushibar W/o Sk.Eqbal, both resident of Village Bari Banbagh, respondent nos. 4 to 7 are of P.S.K.Nagar, District Purnea

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : one
For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 : AC to SC 11
For the Respondent No 6 : Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 06-08-2015 -----
Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition.

Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 6 submit that, with the passage of time, the present matter appears to have become infructuous, since by the impugned order dated 07.07.1999 vide annexure-1 a petition filed on behalf of the petitioner under Section 48 E of the B.T.Act has been rejected at the very threshold.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Tahir/-

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

U			
---	--	--	--