

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12 of 2012**

=====

Sita Devi W/o Sri Ram Chandra Singh R/o Village Barun Suryapura, P.O. +  
P.S.-Suryapura, District-Rohtas

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum, Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
3. The Indian Oil Corporation through its Chairman, Address-G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400051
4. The Chairman, Indian Oil Corporation Address-G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400051
5. The Managing Director, Indian Oil Corporation through its Chairman, Address-G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400051
6. The Director Vigilence, Indian Oil Corporation Through Its Chairman, Address-G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400051
7. The General Manager, Marketing Division (Eastern Region), Lok Nayak Jay Prakash Bhawan, 5th Floor, Dak Bunglow Road, Patna-1
8. The Senior Area Manager, Marketing Division, (Eastern Region), Shahi Bhawan, 1st Floor, Exhibition Road, Patna-1
9. The Area Manager (F)/Patna Ao. Marketing Division (Eastern Region), Shahi Bhawan, 1st Floor, Exhibition Road, Patna-1
10. The Deputy Manager, (LPS-S)/Patna Ao, Marketing Division (Eastern Region), Shahi Bhawan, 1st Floor, Exhibition Road, Patna-1
11. Rakesh Kumar Singh S/o Sri Vijay Singh R/o Village-Dhawan, P.O.-Durga Dih, P.S.-Bikramganj, District-Rohtas

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Mr. Rakesh Narayansingh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raghib Ahsan (Asst. SG)

For the I.O.C. : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocate  
Mr. Amlesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      08-12-2015                      Heard Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey for the petitioner  
and Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha for the respondent Corporation.

The petitioner while raising her grievance against the

selection of the respondent no. 11 had filed the writ petition complaining against the inaction of the respondent Corporation in not examining into the complaint so filed by the petitioner questioning the eligibility of the private respondent for the appointment of the dealership of the Corporation under the Rajiv Gandhi Gramin L.P.G. Yojana ('RGGLV' for the sake of brevity) in respect of Suryapura Barun in the district of Rohtas.

A counter affidavit has been filed today in the case and Mr. Sinha, learned counsel for the Corporation with reference to the statement made in paragraph-13 of the counter affidavit has submitted that the complaint so filed by the petitioner was acted upon and after enquiry into the candidature of the private respondent, the decision has gone against him and his candidature has been cancelled and that the field verification committee is in process of verification of the documents of the writ petitioner.

In view of the categorical stand taken by the respondent Corporation in paragraph-13, the grievance raised by the petitioner stands redressed and the writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(Jyoti Saran, J)**

S.Sb/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|