

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2075 of 2005

=====

Chandan Kumar Singh son of Sunil Kumar Singh, resident of village
Dhandua, P.S.Jandaha, District Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur
 3. Circle Officer, Jandaha, District Vaishali
 4. Suresh Sharma son Shri Parshuram Thakur, resident of village Kadilpur,
P.S.Jandaha, District Vaishali
 5. Murari Singh son of Late Nagendra Singh, resident of Bahasi Damodar,
P.S.Jandaha, District Vaishali
 6. (i) Babloo Sahni
(ii) Arvind Sahni
Both sons of Vaidnath Sahni, resident of village Sherpur, P.S.Jandaha,
District Vaishali
 7. Kailash Singh son of Shri Ram Shavrup Singh, resident of village
Dhandua
 8. Amod Thakur son of Shri Keshav Thakur, resident of village Dhandua
 9. Shatrudhan Singh son of Shri Ramanand Singh, resident of village
Dhandua
 10. Rajendra Paswan son of Chalitar Paswan, resident of village Sherpur
 11. Kokai Mahto son of Jagdeo Mahto, resident of village Bhandua
 12. Javahar Mahto son of Judagi Mahto, resident of village Dhandhua
 13. Satendra Singh son of Shri Nandan Singh, resident of village Dhandhua
 14. Baleshwar Mahto son of Jugeshwar Mahto, resident of village
Dhandhua
 15. Devi Paswan son of Umrav Paswan, resident of village Sherpur
 16. Arun Singh son of Krit Narayan Singh, resident of village Bhandua
 17. Sandesh Singh son of Shiv Nandan Singh, resident of village Dhandhua
 18. Arun Singh son of Keval Singh, resident of village Bhandhua
 19. Mahjid Mian son of Pasphek Mia, resident of village Bahasi
 20. Suresh Singh son of Baleshwar Singh, resident of village Dhandhua
 21. Upendra Singh son of Visheshwar Singh, resident of village Dhandhua
- Respondent nos. 7 to 21 are of P.S.Jandaha, District Vaishali

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : None:

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 02-02-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the
petitioner in support of the present writ petition. None appears on

behalf of the respondents either, though names of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties are printed in the daily cause list.

2. On examination of the records, this Court finds that the present writ petition stood dismissed as against substituted respondent nos. 5 and 6 on account of non-compliance of the court's peremptory order dated 05.07.2013 passed by a Bench of this Court. Despite passage of about one and half years since the date of the aforesaid order, steps have not been taken by the petitioner for restoration of the present writ petition as against the aforesaid substituted respondent nos. 5 and 6.

3. It appears that the petitioner has lost all interest in the present writ petition. The writ petition appears to have also become otherwise incompetent on account of its dismissal against some of the respondents, as indicated above.

4. For the reasons recorded above, this Court is left with no option but to dismiss the present writ petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed, but without costs.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--