

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4575 of 2015

- =====
1. Shiy Pujan Chaudhary, son of Devan Baitha, Resident of village- Semara Nanhkar , P.O. Bishunpur Patti, P.S. Sahebganj , District - Muzaffarpur, presently Block Teacher, Middle School, Manain, Block- Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.
 2. Chandra Shekhar Azad, son of Late Premchand Prasad, Resident of village- Madhurapur , P.O. Bangra Muza, P.S. Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur, presently Panchayat Teacher, Up-grade Middle School, Madhurapur, Block- Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Program Officer (Establishment), Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. The Principal District Institute for Education and Training (DIET), Rambag, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
8. The Senior Instructor, District Institute for Education and Training Rambag (DIET), Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur
9. The Block Education Officer, Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.
10. Sri Shashi Kapoor, son of not known to the petitioners, Presently working as Block Teacher, Up-graded Middle School, Roop Chapra, Noniya Toli and the Coordinator Cluster Resource Center, Middle School, Manain, Block- Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.
11. Smt. Sangeeta Kumari, wife of Sri Ashok Kumar, Presently working as Block Teacher, Middle School, Pakari Basarat (Girls) and the Coordinator Cluster Resource Center, Middle School, Bhagwanpur, Block- Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S B K Mangalam
Mr. Chandan

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GA3- VINAY KIRTI SINGH

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-04-2015

A K Tripathi, J

Writ application is dismissed after detailed hearing of the petitioners

for the simple reason that there is a composite code with regard to selection as

well as grievance arising out of wrongful selection which is evident from the provision provided in Annexure-1 itself.

2. There is no special reason why this Court should entertain the writ application at this juncture especially when the authorities have easy access to all the records and inputs at the local level.

3. Petitioners would be well advised to invoke such jurisdiction first.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

R.K.Pathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--