

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.4922 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -180 Year- 2014 Thana -SIWAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
SIWAN

=====

Amjad Khan S/O Late Wahidul Rahman Resident of Mohalla-Mazharaul
Haque Colony, Siwan, P.S. - Siwan Town, Distt.- Siwan

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anjum Ara W/O Mahtab Alam Resident of Vill - Surahiya, P.S-Barharia,
Distt.- Siwan

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Javed Aslam, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2015 Heard both sides.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Complaint
Case No. 180/14 registered under Sections 354, 406, 420, 467,
468, 471/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The complainant Anjum Aara alleged that she
wanted to purchase a piece of land for construction of a house.
Amzad Khan (the petitioner), who happens to be her distant
relative, introduced Rakesh Kumar and Imran Khan. Rakesh
Kumar executed a deed of sale in favour of Mahatab Alam, the
husband of the complainant, with regard to Khata No. 381, Plot
No. 4911. The petitioner identified the execution of the said sale
deed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner happens to be the relative of the complainant, he put his signature as identifier of the sale deed. He did not either receive the consideration money nor did he induce the complainant to purchase the land.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is only the identifier of the sale deed, the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan in Complaint Case No. 180/14, corresponding to Tr. No. 7100/14, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Dilip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--