

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15811 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -92 Year- 2008 Thana -DINARA District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Rajnish Singh @ Bhuar Singh S/o Amresh Rai, Resident of village-
Lilwachh, P.S.- Dinara, District- Rohtas at Sasaram

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

9 21-12-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dinara
P.S. Case No. 92 of 2008 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 323, 341, 307, 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner and other co-accused
assaulted the father of the informant brutally and co-accused
Amresh Rai was armed with Rama and petitioner and others were
armed with lathi resulting, the father of the informant sustained
injuries and subsequently during course of treatment he died.

Submission is of false implication and that there is no
specific allegation against the petitioner, co-accused Amresh Rai
has been allowed bail by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court

and the petitioner is the son of co-accused Amresh Rai. The petitioner is suffering in custody since 22.12.2014 and as such now he deserves sympathetic consideration.

Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that co-accused Amresh Rai who was armed with Rama has already been allowed bail by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court, as such, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Adhoc I/c Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Rohtas at Sasaram in Sessions Trial No. 205A of 2011 arising out of Dinara P.S. Case No. 92 of 2008, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--