

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11359 of 2014

=====

Vikram Jha son of Late Yogdhar Jha, resident of Village and P.O. Baugarh,
P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Under Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner, MANREGA, - cum - Chief Executive Officer Bihar Rural Development Society, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
5. The District Magistrate - cum - District Program Coordinator, Muzaffarpur
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Additional District Program Coordinator, Muzaffarpur
7. The Program Officer, Kanti, Muzaffarpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
Mr.Md. Hussainuddihn Azad, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. M.K.Singh, SC 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2015

Heard the parties.

The main grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is that though the petitioner has filed an appeal on 29.01.2013 (Annexure-5) before the respondent, District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur against the original order dated 08.01.2013 (Annexure-4) passed by the respondent Deputy Development Commissioner, Muazaffarpur, yet neither the appeal preferred by the petitioner is being disposed of nor the grievances raised on behalf of the petitioner are being redressed.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is finally disposed of with a direction to the respondent District Magistrate-cum-District Programme

Coordinator, Muzaffarpur (respondent no.5) to pass an appropriate final order in respect to the appeal in the form of an application as contained in Annexure-5 preferred on behalf of the petitioner as far back as on 29.01.2013 at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It is expected that the respondent District Magistrate shall dispose of the aforesaid appeal by a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law.

This is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim raised on behalf of the petitioner in the aforesaid appeal and this is left to be decided by the respondent District Magistrate in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations/directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--