

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.31704 of 2015

Arising Out of Protest Complaint Case No. -1445 Year- 2012 Thana -
GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District- GAYA

=====

Shankar Kumar s/o of Satendra Sharma resident of Village- Supata, P.S
Tekari, District Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMARESH KUMAR LAL
ORAL ORDER

2 13-08-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P.

for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 302/34 of IPC.

It is submitted that FIR was lodged against unknown.

After investigation, the police submitted final form having no clue
but the learned Magistrate differed with the finding of the
Investigating Officer and has taken cognizance against the
petitioner and others. The petitioner has been in custody since
18.5.2015. The petitioner is an accused in Tekari P. S. Case No.
123 of 2013 for the offence punishable under Section 392 IPC
lodged by the present informant in which he is on bail.

Learned counsel for other side could not controvert

the contention of the petitioner while opposing his prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in Protest Complaint Case No. 1445 of 2012 arising out of Tekari P. S. Case No. 87 of 2012 with following conditions:-

1. The petitioner will not indulge himself in similar or any other offence.
2. One of the bailors must be the close relative of the petitioner.
3. The petitioner will be well represented in the Court on each and every date and in case of absence for two consecutive dates or in case of violation of terms of bail, his bail bond would be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Amaresh Kumar Lal, J)

Kanchan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--