

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27122 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -16 Year- 2014 Thana -PAHARPUR District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Ram Ayodhya Prasad son of Baldeo Prasad Resident of Village
Ibrahimpur, Police Station - Paharpur, District - East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dasrath Mehta (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is in custody in Paharpur P.S.Case No. 16
of 2014 (S.Tr. No. 63 of 2015) registered under Sections
304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 / 4 of D.P.Act,
pending in the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions
Jude-10, East Champaran at Motihari.

The sister of the informant, namely, Meera Devi, was
married with the petitioner. She complained that her in-laws are
demanding Rs. 50,000/- dues amount of dowry and they used to
assault her. The informant came to know through the father-in-
law of the sister of the informant that his sister is not available in
the house. On that information, the informant and Ram nath

Prasad went at the house of his sister and they did not found any family members at the house and the house was closed. After search the dead body of his sister was found in the bank of Canal then the informant informed to the police.

On behalf of the petitioner, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 12.7.2014. It is further submitted that though the petitioner is the husband of the deceased but during trial three witnesses have been examined and none has supported the prosecution case.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and there is direct allegation against him.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial and if necessary on day to day basis and conclude the same within a period of four months. The bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--