

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.800 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4093 of 2013

- =====
1. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha
  2. Bindeshwari Prasad Singh
  3. Dhananjay Kumar All S/O Late Shivpujan Singh Resident Of Village Injore, Police Station Mahandia, District Arwal.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary, Primary Education Department, Government Of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Namely Sri Rahul Singh
2. The Director, Primary Education Department, Government Of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Namely Sri Ajay Kumar Choudhary.
3. The Deputy Director, Primary Education Department, Government Of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Namely Sri Krishna Kumar Sharma.
4. The Director, Directorate, General Provident Fund, Patna, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Namely Sri Anirudh Prasad Singh.
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, District Jehanabad, Namely Sri Sanoj Kumar.
6. The Collector, District Jehanabad, Namely Md. Sohail.
7. The District Education Officer, District Jehanabad, Namely Sri Ashutosh Kumar.
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Jehanabad, Namely Roshan Kumar.
9. The Collector, Arwal, District Arwal, Namely Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh.
10. The District Education Officer, Arwal, District Arwal, Namely Sri Binod Kumar Ram.
11. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Arwal, District Arwal, Namely Sri Parsuram Singh.
12. The Treasury Officer, Arwal, Arwal District Arwal, Namely Sri Prem Kumar Pusp.
13. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna, Namely Sri Sanjay Singh.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ram Kinker Choubey

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI**  
ORAL ORDER

\*\*\*\*\*

**03. 22.07.2015**

A show-cause has been filed. Annexure-A and

B has been annexed, which is said to be compliance of  
the direction of the Court. There is no rebuttal on behalf

of the petitioner with regard to the stand taken in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of O.P. No. 2.

Contempt Application stands disposed off.

If any legitimate claim of the petitioner remains, they have freedom to assert their right before the competent authority.

**(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)**

SKM/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|