

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4981 of 2001

=====

Krishna Kishore Tiwary son of Late Narsingh Tiwary
resident of village Kutumba, P.S. Kutumba, District
Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Secretary, Department of Health Services, Government
of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Indigenous Medicine, Department of Health
Services, Government of Bihar Patna.
4. Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief
Executive Officer, Aurangabad Zila Parishad,
Aurangabad.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondents : Mr. (SC1)
For the State : Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
A.C. to S.C.-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-07-2015

The petitioner seeks issuance of direction to
the respondents to implement the decision vide Memo
No. 2300 dated 02.04.1998, whereby the State of Bihar
decided to increase the Pay Scales of the Doctors in
Indigenous Medicine (Vaidya, Hakim) from Rs. 2000-
3800 to 2200-4000, with respect to the Doctors in
indigenous medicine working under Aurangabad Zila
Parishad.

2. The petitioner has brought on record an

order issued by the Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, District Board, Patna, whereby the said scale of Rs. 2200-4000 has been sanctioned for Vaidyas and Hakims working under Zila Parishad, Patna.

3. It is the stand of the petitioner that Zila Parishad of other districts of Bihar have extended such benefit to the Doctors in indigenous medicine. Nothing has, however, been brought on record in support of plea that the Zila Parishad, Aurangabad is/was bound by the decision by the State Government, enhancing Pay Scale of Vaidyas and Hakims from Rs. 2000-3800 to Rs. 2200-4000 nor any provision has been brought to my notice, on the basis of which, it can be said that the District Board is required to sanction same Pay Scale to its employees, as is admissible to the employees, holding equivalent post under the State Government.

4. I find no merit in this application.

5. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Vats/-

U			
---	--	--	--