

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1317 of 2014

=====

Navin Goswami @ Navin Kumar Goshwami

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Pramila Tibrewal

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amrendra Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 09-12-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the plaintiff- respondent.

By the impugned order dated 13.09.2013 passed by
learned Munsif, Lakhisarai in Title Eviction Suit No. 4 of 2011
whereby the court below has allowed the application for
substituting of legal representatives of the deceased defendant
no.1.


The only grievance of the tenant- petitioner is that there
was no limitation application but the court below has allowed the
substitution application although the substitution application was
filed after 18 months.

In my opinion, therefore, by the impugned order
allowing the substitution application, the petitioner-tenant is not
prejudiced nor any failure of justice has arisen.

In view of the above facts, I do not find any reason to

interfere with the impugned order.

Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.



(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)