

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21977 of 2013

=====

Kanti Devi, Wife of Sri Chandrashekhar Singh, Resident of Village -
Chater, P.O. Hasapur, Block + P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Sub - Divisional Officer, Rajauli, District – Nawada.
3. The Block Development Officer, Narhat Block, District – Nawada.
4. Ganesh Rajbanshi, Son of not known, resident of Village - Badalpur, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
5. Lakhendra Yadav, Son of not Known, Resident of Village - Dargahi Bigha, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
6. Mahendra Ravidas, Son of not known, Resident of Village - Hamidpur Bara, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
7. Gita Devi, Wife of Ashok Yadav, Resident of Village - Bardachak, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
8. Parmeshwar Prasad Yadav, Son of not known, Resident of Village - Dullam Bigha, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
9. Manoj Kumar, Son of not known, Resident of Village - Ollypur, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
10. Parvati Devi, Wife of Laljeet Chauhan, Resident of Village - Punaul, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.
11. Rinku Devi, Wife of Umesh Prasad, Resident of Village - Badi Pali, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.

Respondent nos.4 to 11 are Member of Panchayat Samiti of
Narhat Block, P.S. Narhat, District – Nawada.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Arun
For the Respondent-State : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-10
For the Private Respondents : Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 09-10-2015

Learned counsel for the parties are present and have
been heard.

The petitioner initially filed the writ petition
questioning the notice dated 16.9.2013 whereby the special
meeting was held for consideration of the *no confidence motion*

moved against the petitioner. The special meeting was held on 18.10.2013 and was passed against the petitioner and which has been questioned by way of an interlocutory application bearing I.A. No.7886 of 2013. Thereafter a fresh election has been held for the post of Pramukh and Up-Pramukh on 7.11.2013 and in which new Pramukh and Up-Pramukh have been elected. The election of Pramukh and Up-Pramukh has not been questioned by the petitioner.

Prima-facie there appears to be no ground to interfere with the impugned order. Even otherwise in absence to the challenge to the re-election, the issue raised has become academic .

The writ petition and the interlocutory application are accordingly disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--