

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12333 of 2013

1. Sarika, Through Her Father And Natural Guardian D/O Binod Sharma Resident Of Village- Khaira Jeeva Bigha, P.O.- Kataiya, P.S.- Amba (Kutumba), District- Aurangabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Dept. Of Human Resources, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director (Secondary Education), Dept. Of Human Resources, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Bihar School Examination Board, Budh Marg, Patna
5. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Budh Marg, Patna
6. The Controller Of Examination, Bihar School Examination Board, Budh Marg, Patna

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-08-2015

18.08.2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The reevaluation of the answer-sheet of the petitioner in mathematics has shown only 24 marks.

The re-evaluation was done on the request of the petitioner. She is bound to accept the outcome of the exercise. If the answer-sheet indicates that she has failed, then there is nothing wrong with the communication made with regard to the status of the marks awarded to the petitioner in the concerned paper after re-evaluation.

Every candidate, who applies for re-evaluation

surely runs the risk of either reduced marks or may be more marks. That position cannot be resiled at a subsequent date.

Writ application stands dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

SKM/-

U			
---	--	--	--