

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2192 of 2013**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10991 of 2012**

=====

Chandra Kishore Sharma S/O Jugeshwar Sharma Resident Of Village-  
Sanjhaili, Police Station- Kadwa, District- Katihar, Presently Secretary  
Badhai Kalyan Manch, Prakhand- Kadwa, District- Katihar.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Mr. Sudhir Kumar Rakesh, the Chief Election Officer, Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Manish Kumar, Registrar, Co- Operative Societies, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Birendra Lal Yadav, District Magistrate- Cum- Election  
Commissioner, Katihar.
5. Mr. Kavindra Nath Thakur, District Co- Operative Officer, Katihar.
6. Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, Block Development Officer- Cum- Election  
Officer, Kadwa, District- Katihar.
7. Mahesh Singh, Secretary Kadwa Prakhand Matasyajivi Sahyog Samiti  
Limited, Village- Chandpur, Police Station- Kadwa, District- Katihar.
8. Lalit Bishwas, Chairman Kadwa Prakhand Matasyajivi Sahyog Samiti  
Limited, Chandpur, Police Station- Kadwa, District- Katihar.

.... .... Opp.Parties

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR  
DATTA**

**and**

**HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA)**

2      03-07-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. No one  
appears for the State.

The contempt application has been has been filed  
alleging flouting of the order dated 3.8.2012 of this Court passed  
in CWJC No. 10991 of 2012. By the said order this Court had  
merely deprecated that it is unfortunate that public interest  
litigation was lodged in order to extract a compromise outside the

Court which was not a healthy indication and after noting that the compromise was entered into between the petitioner and the respondent no. 8, this Court had disposed of the public interest litigation.

It is evident from the said order that no direction had been issued by this Court which was required to be complied by any one nor the matter had been disposed of in terms of the compromise petition.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the contempt application is dismissed.

**(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)**

S.Pandey/-

**(Anjana Mishra, J)**

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|