

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16574 of 2013

=====

Ram Bilas Das son of Late Bishnudeo Das, resident of Village - Ninja, P.S.
Barauni, District - Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The District Magistrate - Cum - Collector, Begusarai
 3. The Additional Collector, Begusarai
 4. The Subdivisional Officer, Begusarai
 5. The Circle Officer, Barauni, District - Begusarai
 6. Jagdish Yadav Son Of Late Ramjee Das
 7. Sanjit Das Son Of Late Arjun Das
 8. Mantri Das Son Of Late Chaudhary Das
 9. Bhola Das Son Of Late Sri Lal Das
- Respondent Nos. 6 to 9 are resident of Village - Ninja,
P.S. Barauni, District - Begusarai

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar, AC to GP 27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-04-2015

Heard the parties.

2. In view of the nature of grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner, fully detailed in paragraph 1 of the writ petition, the petitioner is directed to file a comprehensive representation before the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Begusarai with all supporting documents, raising all the pleas which have been raised in the present writ petition.

3. If such a comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the respondent District Magistrate, Begusarai either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims of the petitioner, after giving an

opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by a reasoned and speaking order at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representation.

4. If on consideration of the materials, the District Magistrate or any other competent authority of the respondent State comes to a conclusion that the grievances/claims raised in behalf petitioner are admissible to him, then consequential orders/directions shall be issued without any unnecessary further delay for grant of such admissible claims to the petitioner.

5. It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present petition and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

6. The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--