

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16774 of 2013

=====

Bihar Pensioner Samaj, Branch Tarapur, Sub Division, P.O. + P.S.- Tarapur,
District- Munger, through its Joint Secretary, Sri Naresh Prasad Singh, Son of Late
Rameshwar Prasad Singh Resident of Mohalla- Kushwaha Colony, P.O. + P.S.-
Tarapur, District- Munger

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Finance Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Munger
4. The Treasury Officer, Munger
5. The Chief Administrator General, State Bank of India, West Gandhi Maidan,
Patna
6. The Regional Manager, Union Bank of India, Fraser Road, Patna
7. The Zonal Manager, United Commercial Bank, Maurya Complex, Patna
8. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Asarganj, Munger
9. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, Rangram, Tarapur, Munger
10. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, Ganeli, Tarapur, Munger
11. The Branch Manager, United Commercial Bank, Tarapur, Munger
12. The Branch Manager, United Commercial Bank, Sangrampur, Munger

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jyoti Ranjan Jha, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-13.

For the State Bank : Mr. Kaushalendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the UCO Bank : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 23-02-2015

The petitioner is an Association of Pensioners in the State of Bihar. The Association filed the writ petition with a prayer to direct the Respondents to revise the pension in terms of revised pay scales of 2006 determined by the 6th Pay Commission. The



petitioner contends that though the retired employees were entitled for revision of their pension on the basis of 6th Pay Revision Commission, such revision has not taken place. The Respondents filed counter affidavit stating that the Finance Department of the State Government passed a resolution No.820 dated 23.09.2009 revising the pension and the same has been circulated to all the concerned authorities and there is no cause of action for the petitioner Association.

Heard Sri Jyoti Ranjan Jha, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Avanindra Kumar Jha, Assistant Counsel to Additional Advocate General-13.

The petitioner seeks a general direction to the Respondents for revision of pension in the light of 6th Pay Commission. The Respondents on the other hand submits that the claim of the petitioner is vague and general. This Court would have been in a position to examine the matter, if any specific instance of the plea of any pensioner for enhancement of pension is not considered and the Government. Letters have been addressed to the Association by the Government to the effect that the benefit of pension has already been extended to the pensioners. An attempt is also made to find fault with the Banks in this regard. Unless specific orders in respect of each pensioner are passed, there is hardly anything that exists, for the Banks to act upon.

Hence we dispose of this writ petition with a direction that in case there is any grievance, the individual pensioners may approach the concerned authority or this Court for redressal of their grievance.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Interlocutory Applications, if any, stands disposed of.
There shall be no order as to costs.

(L. Narasimha Reddy,CJ)

Chandran/Md.Ibrarul

(Vikash Jain, J)

U			
---	--	--	--