

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.149 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9542 of 2015

=====

Shraddha Sneha, D/o Pranay, R/o Road No. 1, Yarpur, P.S. – Gardanibagh,
District - Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Principal Secretary, Department of Science & Technology, Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board,
I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.O. – B. V. College, Patna
4. The Controller of Examination, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive
Examination Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.O. – B.
V. College, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajiv Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-09-2015

30.09.2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Submission of the counsel for the petitioner is that the instructions do not indicate that there should be a minimum of 70 words or 72 words duly transcribed, which is vital to the adjudication of the issue.

Every candidate has to transcribe the text written above. The number of words they are required to be reproduced. The actual numbers are of no significance.

Even otherwise, effort of the counsel for the petitioner is rearguing the writ application by trying to give another dimension. Such an effort does not

succeed, because it does not come within the ambit of powers of review.

Review application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

