

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15034 of 2012

=====

1. Sharma Pandey Son Of Late Vakil Pandey Resident Of Village Dumra,
P.S. Kotwa, District East Champaran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Principal Secretary, Cooperative Department, Bihar, Patna
3. Additional Secretary, Cooperative Department, Bihar, Patna
4. Managing Director, Motihari Central Cooperative Bank Ltd.
5. Branch Manager, Areraj Branch Of The Motihari Central Cooperative Bank Ltd.
6. Regional Police Superintendent, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Muzaffarpur
7. Shri Subodh Kumar Tiwary, Police Inspector-Cum-Enquiry Officer, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Club Road, Mithanpura, Muzaffarpur
8. Shri Sushil Kumar, Police Department, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Club Road, P.S. Mithanpura, Regional Office, Muzaffarpur
9. State Level Bankers Committee Of Bihar Through Its Convener, State Bank Of India Having Its Local Office, 5th Floor, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna
10. The Collector, East Champaran At Motihari
11. Senior Deputy Collector-Cum-Incharge Officer, Zila Samajik Suraksha Koshang, East Champaran, Motihari
12. Police Inspector, Economic Crime Unit, Bihar, Patna
13. Dumra Agriculture Credit Cooperative Society Having Its Office At Dumra, P.S. Kotwa, District East Champaran Through Its Sachiv
14. Karariya Primary Agriculture Credit Cooperative Societies Having Its Office At Village Karariya Jagir, P.S. Kotwa, District East Champaran Through Its Chairman
15. Jay Prakash Pandey Son Of Lal Babu Pandey Resident Of Village Dumra, P.S. Kotwa, District East Champaran

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha
Mr. Arvind Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2015 Despite repeated calls no one has appeared on behalf of the

petitioner to press the application. Mr. Arvind Kumar, Counsel

for the Vigilance and Mr. Ojha, Counsel for the State are,

however, present.

The petitioner was elected as Chairman of the Managing Committee of PACS. He is aggrieved by diverse communication soliciting some details or informations from him by the Vigilance Department of the Government.

Counsel for the Vigilance has submitted that any person holding public office shall be construed as a public servant.

Be that as it may, since no one has appeared to press the application, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--