

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1015 of 2013

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11254 of 2012

=====

Binod Prasad , son of Sri Janak Mahto , resident of Village + P.O. -
Maghra, P.S. Deep Nagar, District - Nalanda

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Its Mr. Amarjeet Sinha, The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna
 2. Mr. Lallan Jha, The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board (Higher Secondary), Patna
 3. Mr. Sharvan Kumar, The Secretary Governing Body Of K.S.T., College, Salempur, Sohsarai, District - Nalanda (Biharsharif)
 4. Mr. Ashok Kumar, The Principal Of K.S.T. College, Salempur, Sohsarai, Nalanda
 5. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
- Opposite Parties
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner :

For the Opposite Parties :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

9 29-01-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned AC to

Principal Additional Advocate General as well as Sri Raj Kamal,

learned counsel, who has appeared on behalf of opposite party no.

5.

The present petition has been filed with a prayer to
initiate contempt proceeding against opposite parties on an
allegation of willful disobedience to an order dated 5/7/2012
passed in CWJC No.11254 of 2012. The said writ petition was
disposed of in terms of the order dated 2/2/2012 passed in CWJC
No.19787 of 2010 and other connected writ petitions. The writ
court in the main writ petition had directed the Director,

Secondary Education to examine the claim in respect of number of colleges.

In this case show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite party no. 1 and along with the show cause a detailed order contained in memo no. 1509 dated 21st November, 2014 has been brought on record, which is an order passed by Director, Secondary Education.

Learned State Counsel submits that in compliance with the order of the writ court the Director, Secondary Education, has already passed a detailed order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner tried to persuade the court that there are apparent errors in the order of the Director, Secondary Education.

The court is of the opinion that since in compliance with the order of the writ court a detailed order has been passed, there is no need to further proceed with the matter. If the petitioner feels aggrieved with the order of the Director, Secondary Education, he would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

The petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--