

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6348 of 2012**

=====

Bray Bhushan Prasad, son of Sri Ramanuj Singh, resident of village - Bana, P.S. Khijarsarai, District – Gaya.

.... .... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, namely, Amarjeet Sinha, father's name not known to the petitioner, Department of Human Resources, Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. Sitaram Singh, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Director, Higher Education, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Magadh University, through its Registrar, namely, B.K. Yadav, father's name not known to the petitioner, Bodh Gaya.
4. Dr. Arun Kumar, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Vice - Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. B.K. Yadav, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
6. The Governing Body, Jehanabad College, Jehanabad, through its Secretary, Surendra Prasad Sharma, son of Late Kameshwar Sharma, Professor Colony, Jehanabad, P.S. Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.
7. Naresh Sharma, father's name not known to the petitioner, resident of Rukanpura, P.S. Okari, District – Jehanabad.

.... .... Contemnors/ Opposite Parties.

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioners : Mr.

For the Opposite Parties : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 20-04-2015**

-----

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned  
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. This application has been filed asserting the non-compliance of the order dated 06.02.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.2335 of 2012.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submits that in the light of the order dated 06.02.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.2335 of 2012, which is under contempt, while the representation of the petitioner has been decided by the opposite party no.2, the Director, Higher Education, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna, on 12.02. 2015 but the claim of the petitioner has been refused.

4. Since the order dated 06.02.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.2335 of 2012, which is under contempt, has been complied with by the concerned opposite party(s), no further action is required to be taken against the concerned opposite party(s). However, if the petitioner has any grievance, he may take recourse in accordance with law before the appropriate forum. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

**(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)**

**P.S./-**

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|