

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5245 of 2012

=====

Sushma Kumari , w/o Sri Surendra Prasad , resident of village - Gokulchak
Milki, P.S. Hilsa, District - Nalanda, At Present Posted As Lady Health
Visitor, M.C.H. Centre, Gurugovind Singh Hospital, Patna City, Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sanjay Kumar Son Of Not Known To The
Petitioner, Commissioner - Cum - Secretary, Health Services Department,
New Secretariat, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. Sanjay Kumar Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner, Commissioner -
Cum - Secretary, Health Services Department, New Secretariat, Bihar,
Patna
3. Dr. Surendra Prasad Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner, Director - In -
Chief, Health Services Department, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna
4. Dr. Surendra Pd. Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner, Director, Health
Services Department, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna
5. Dr. Lakhendra Prasad Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner, Civil
Surgeon, Patna
6. Dr. Awadhesh Kumar Kashyap Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner,
Superintendent, Guru Govind Singh Hospital, Patna City, Patna

.... Contemnors/Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh

For the Contemnors/Opposite Parties :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 15-01-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
AC to GA No. 7.

The present petition was filed with a prayer to initiate
contempt proceeding against opposite parties on an allegation of
disobedience to an order dated 25/1/2012 passed in CWJC No.
23075 of 2011.

In this case show cause and supplementary show
causes have been filed. In the supplementary show cause

categorical stand has been taken that petitioner was given 1st ACP, 2nd ACP as well as 3rd M.A.C.P. and thereafter, vide Annexure - 'B/1', it has been indicated that differential amount i.e. Rs.3,15,243/- has already been paid to the petitioner. The supplementary show cause was filed in the month of July, 2014. However, no reply has been filed, but at the time of hearing it was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that payment has not been made in accordance with the sanction order.

Since no reply was filed after supplementary show cause, in view of the facts and circumstances disclosed in the supplementary show cause, the court is of the opinion that order has already been complied.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while disposing of the present petition it may be indicated that if petitioner feels that still any grievance exists, he would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

The prayer is allowed.

The petition stands disposed of with liberty as indicated above.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--