

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18717 of 2014

=====

1. Dhirendra Kumar, Son of Sri Suresh Prasad Singh , resident of Chanakayapur Colony, Gaya, Police Station - Rampur in the district of Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Department of Revenue, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Bihar State Housing Board, through its Managing Director.
7. The Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondents-State of Bihar:Mr. Roy Shivajee Nath, AAG-3

For the Respondent No.6 :Mrs. Binita Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH)

Date: 06-10-2015



This writ application has been filed, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, seeking direction to the respondents to lay down guidelines for disposal of matters/cases relating to land disputes in the State of Bihar on priority basis. It is the petitioner's case that because of delay in resolution of land dispute matters, law and order situation, in the State of Bihar, has worsened, which has given rise to spurt in institution of criminal cases.

2. We have heard Mr. Sunil Kumar, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, Mr. Roy Shivajee Nath, learned Additional Advocate General-3, appearing on behalf of the respondents-State of Bihar, and also Mrs. Binita Singh, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondent No.6.

3. A supplementary affidavit has been filed today on behalf of the petitioner, making certain suggestions, which, according to him, can be considered by the State Government for expeditious disposal of the matters relating to property disputes.

4. This Court in a proceeding, under Article 226 of the Constitution of Indian, cannot issue direction asking the

respondents to frame particular rules/guidelines in the present matter. We, however, expect that the respondents shall consider the suggestions as made by the petitioner in Paragraph 14 of the supplementary affidavit, filed today.

5. This application stands disposed of with the observation aforesaid.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ.)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Praveen-II/-

U			
---	--	--	--