

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6227 of 2014

=====

Mahashankar Thakur son of Sri Raj Nandan Thakur, resident of village Ejot, P.O- Damu, P.S- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. District Magistrate, Madhubani.
6. Deputy Development Commissioner, Madhubani.
7. District Panchayati Raj Officer, Madhubani.
8. Block Development Officer, Basopatti, District Madhubani.
9. Mukhia, Gram Panchayat Raj Damu, P.S- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.
10. Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Damu, P.S- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dudh Nath Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kr. Mandal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2015 The limited prayer made in this writ petition is for disposal of the representation filed by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Madhubani, a copy of which is placed at Anenxure-2 series and on the strength whereof the petitioner endeavours for removal of the Mukhiya under Section 18(5) of the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 2006.

I have heard Mr. Imtiyaz Ahmad, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The representation placed at Annexure-2 series is

perfunctory for it lacks factual details. If the petitioner would rely upon such a representation for initiating a proceeding against an elected representative, his impression is misconceived.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--