

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6549 of 2008

=====

Rina Hansda, daughter of Deo Narain Hansda, wife of Deo Narain Kishku,
resident of village- Naya Nagar Santhal Tola, P.S. and Block,- Nauhatta,
District- Saharsa at present residing at Hospital Colony, P.S. Saharsa Town,
District- Saharsa

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Saharsa
4. The Addl. District Magistrate, Saharsa
5. The Commissioner, Kosi Division, Saharsa
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Saharsa
7. The Deputy Collector Establishment, Saharsa Collectorate, Saharsa
8. The Deputy Collector, Nazarat Saharsa Collectorate, Saharsa
9. The Superintending Engineer, Canal Circle, Saharsa
10. The Joint Agriculture Director, Saharsa
11. The Regional Dy. Director Education, Kosi Division, Saharsa
12. The Civil Surgeon-cum- Chief Medical Officer, Saharsa
13. The District Leprosy Eradication Officer, Saharsa
14. The Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation, Saharsa
15. The District Annual Animal Husbandry Officer, Saharsa
16. The District Education Officer, Saharsa
17. The District Superintendent of Education, Saharsa
18. The Agriculture Officer, Saharsa
19. The Executive Engineer Road Division, P.W.D. Road, Saharsa
20. The District Welfare Officer, Saharsa

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy
Mr. Ashish Dev

For the Respondent/s : Mr. (Gp20)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

.....

2 12-03-2015 After some argument, learned counsel for the

petitioner, in presence of learned AC to GP-20, makes a prayer for

disposal of the writ petition with a direction to the District

Magistrate, Saharsa to examine the representation filed by the

petitioner.

Learned State Counsel submits that from Annexure-7, it is not clear as to whether such representation was received in the office or not.

In view of facts and circumstances, without delving into the merit of the case and without giving any specific direction, the Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before Respondent no.3/ District Magistrate, Saharsa. If such representation is filed within eight weeks from today, the Court expects that Respondent no.3 may examine the same and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Rakesh Kumar, J)