

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18421 of 2014

=====

Jagannath Singh Son of Late Dharamlal Singh R/o Baurahi, P.S. -
Dhanarua, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through D.M. Patna.
2. Regional Manager II, Rural State Bank of India, Anta Ghat, Patna, P.S. -
Pirbahore, District - Patna. null null
3. Regional Manager II, State Bank of India, Anta Ghat, P.S. - Pirbahore,
District - Patna. null null
4. Branch Manager, State Bank of India Sonmai P.S. Dhanarua, District -
Patna.
5. General Manager SARK Systems Limited S.K. Nagar House No. 100
Road No. 21, P.S. S.K. Nagar, District - Patna.
6. Rohit Kumar Son of Jagannath Singh C.S.P. Operator Baurhi, P.S.
Dhanarua, District- Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shiopujan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent No.1: Mr. Md. Kamal Akhtar, AC to AAG-9
For the Respondent Nos.2-5: Mr. Satya Prakash Tripathy, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 09-12-2015 Heard Mr. Shiopujan Singh, learned Counsel for the

petitioner, and Mr. Md. Kamal Akhtar, learned Assistant Counsel

to Additional Advocate General No.9, appearing for the

respondent No.1. Heard also Mr. Satya Prakash Tripathy, learned

Counsel, appearing for the respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

After some arguments, Mr. Shiopuja Singh, learned

Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this

application, made, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,

in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, with liberty to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

However, we make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merit of the present writ petition.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--