WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.272 of 2015

==========

Kumar Gaurav, S/o Sri Binmal Kumar Jha, Resident of Mohalla -Ward No. 20, Madhepura, P.O. & P.S. & District Madhepura, Ex-Executive Assistant, Paddy Purchase Centre, Patarghat, P.S. Saur Bazar (Patarghat O.P.), District Saharsa.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
5. The Deputy Chief (Claim), the Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, At & P.O. & P.S. & District Saharsa.
7. The Superintendent of Police, At & P.O. & P.S. & District- Saharsa.
8. The Additional Collector, At & P.O. & P.S. & District- Saharsa.
9. The Sub-Divisional Police Office, At & P.O. & P.S. Simari Bakhtiyarpur, District- Saharsa.
10. The Officer-in-Charge, Sour Bazar Police Station, At & P.O. & P.S.- Sour Bazar, District -Saharsa, Bihar.
11. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation, Saharsa.
12. Gajendra Bhagat, Proprietor, M/s Koshi Rice Mills, Jemhara, Patarghat, P.S.- Sour Bazar, District Saharsa, Bihar.

.... Respondents

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. M.N. Parbat, Senior Advocate
Mr. Praveen Prabhakar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ritesh Kumar, SC-33

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

By way of the present application under Articles

226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks quashing of the first information report of Sour Bazar P.S. Case No. 36 of 2014 on the ground that no case is made out so far as it relates to the petitioner.

From bare perusal of the FIR, it would appear that the allegations made in the FIR do attract a cognizable offence as against the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in this application. Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	