

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2850 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10016 of 2007

=====

Arvind Kumar Choudhary, son of Late R.N. Choudhary, Resident of House No. A/34, Road No. 2 A, Magistrate Colony, Ashiyana Road, P.S. Rajiv Nagar, District and Town- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Main Secretariat, Patna.
2. Sri Anjani Kumar Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Rajit Punhani, name of father not known to the petitioner, Secretary, Department of Social Welfare (Previously known as Commissioner and Secretary and Welfare Department).
4. Miss. Neelam Gupta, name of father not known to the petitioner, Director Integrated Child Development Service, Indira Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Boring Canal Road, Patna.
5. Sri Rameshwar Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, Commissioner and Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
6. Mr. Dharmendra Singh Gangwar, name of father now known to the petitioner, Secretary, General Administration Department (Formely known as Personal and Administration Reforms Department), Main Secretariat, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kishore Kumar Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. SUNIL KR. MANDAL, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-02-2015

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned S.C. 24
appearing for the State are present.

Learned counsel for the State submits that earlier one
M.J.C. No. 2852 of 2011 was filed for non-compliance of the
order dated 06.04.2011 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 10016 of 2007,
which has already been disposed of vide order dated 18.09.2013

with liberty that if the petitioners feel aggrieved, and so advised, they can avail appropriate remedy in an appropriate proceeding without being prejudiced by this order and the present contempt application has also been filed on behalf of the petitioner for non compliance of the aforesaid order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 10016 of 2007.

Since the M.J.C. No. 2852 of 2011 was related to non compliance of order under contempt has been dropped with liberty that if the petitioners feel aggrieved, and so advised, they can avail appropriate remedy in an appropriate proceeding without being prejudiced by this order, I find no reason to reconsider the present contempt application. Accordingly, the contempt application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--