

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1788 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7403 of 2013

=====

Kanhaiya Lal Gupta @ Kanhai Lal Gupta, son of Late Satish Chandra Gupta alias Shashi Chandra Gupta, permanent address-Muhalla Jamalpur Bazar (Ashok Chowk), P.O. Jamalpur Gogari, P.S. Gogari, District-Khagaria, presently residing at Muhalla-Anishabad (Mumtaz Gali), P.O. Anishabad, P.S. Gardanibag, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Devhrath Sinha, son of not known, presently posted as a Deputy Secretary, Home Special Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. Sri Prem Singh Meena, son of not known, presently posted as a I.G. Prison, Jail Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh, son of not known, presently posted as a District Magistrate, Samastipur, District-Samastipur.
4. Sri Sudhir Kumar, son of not known, presently posted as a Block Development Officer, Hasanpur, District-Samastipur.

.... Contemnors/Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandra Moleshwar, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kumar Singh, AC to PAAG.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-02-2015

Two show cause, one on behalf of opposite party no.1 and another on behalf of opposite party no.3, have been filed by the learned AC to PAAG, in compliance of the order dated 02.07.2013 passed in C.W.J.C. No.7403 of 2013, which is under contempt. The same are taken on record.

2. The aforesaid writ application bearing C.W.J.C. No.7403 of 2013 filed on behalf of the petitioner was disposed of vide order dated 02.07.2013 under contempt with a direction to the

concerned respondent to consider the application of the petitioner for grant of pension under the J.P. Senani Samman Yojna and take an appropriate decision in accordance with law in the matter, preferably, within two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

3. Learned AC to PAAG, appearing on behalf of the State, submits that in compliance of the order under contempt, the opposite party no.1 has disposed of the application of the petitioner for grant of pension under the J.P. Senani Samman Yojna vide order dated 13.08.2014, which is detailed in paragraph 5 of the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite party no.1 and, as such, the order under contempt has already been complied with by the opposite party no.1.

4. Since the order under contempt has already been complied with by the opposite party no.1, which would appear from Annexure-‘B’ to the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite party no.1, no further action is required to be taken against the concerned opposite parties. Accordingly, this contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U			
---	--	--	--