

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7509 of 2014

=====

Savita Kumari wife of Sri Sanjeet Kumar Singh, resident of village- Baruari, P.S. Gaighat, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Public Education Cum Joint Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Chief Programme Coordinator, Secondary Education and Literary Mission, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Gaighat, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.
8. The Pramukh, Gaighat Block, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.
9. The Key Resource Person (KRP), Mukhyamantri Akshar Anchal Yojana Cum Secretary, Selection Committee, Gaighat, Dist. Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. V.R.P. Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Priyadarshi Matri Sharan, AC to AAG-XI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-01-2015

Heard the parties.

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the order dated 06.07.2013 (Annexure-2) passed by the respondent District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur, though she has been allowed to join the post of Block Programme Co-Ordinator, but she is not being assigned the duty of the post and is not being paid her honorarium.

In view of the nature of grievances/ claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the interest of justice shall be sub-served if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a comprehensive representation before the respondent District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur with all supporting documents raising all the points, which have been raised in

the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within a period of one month from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the respondent District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the grievances/ claims raised on behalf of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order at an early date preferably within a period of two months from the date of filing of such representation.

If on consideration of the materials the competent authority comes to a conclusion that grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner are admissible to her, then consequential order shall also be issued for grant of such admissible claims without any unnecessary further delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--