

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1859 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11062 of 1996

- =====
1. Surendra Prasad Sharma, S/o Late Sheo Sharma, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Branch Office Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
 2. Kamaluddin, S/o Shri Abul Hassan, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Head Office, District Bhagalpur.
 3. Sudhanshu Kumar Das, S/o Late Maheshwar Das, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Head Office, District Bhagalpur.
 4. Ashwini Kumar Yadav, S/o Late Kartika Mandal, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Branch Office Kahalgaon, District Bhagalpur.
 5. Md. Abul Hassan, S/o Late Manjoor Hassan, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Head Office, District Bhagalpur.
 6. Arun Kumar Singh, s/o Shri Kailash Prasad Singh, at present working in the Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Branch Office Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur.

.....
Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Registrar Mr. Hukum Singh Meena, Co-operative Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.

- 
2. The Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Bhagalpur through its Administrator- Shri Jitendra Singh.
 3. Pankaj Kumar Jha, Managing Director, Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Bhagalpur
 4. Ajay Kumar Ajay, Sub Divisional Audit Officer, Co-operative Societies, In-charge of Banka Audit, Bhagalpur Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Bhagalpur.

..... Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Alok Ranjan, Advocate.
For Opposite Party No.2 : Mr. Nitiranjana Jha, Advocate.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jha, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-03-2015

By filing show-cause counsel for the Bank has placed on record order dated 08.11.2014 (Annexure-R/1) and the chart appended therewith, which has been prepared in the light of the order under contempt. In the circumstances, I am not inclined to go into the correctness or otherwise of the said order.

Contempt application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioners to

assail the order dated 08.11.2014 and the
chart appended therewith, if they are
aggrieved with the same.

(V.N. Sinha, J)

P.K.P.

U			
---	--	--	--