

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.261 of 2014**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20831 of 2010**

- =====
1. Binod Kumar Paswan Son Of Teka Paswan Resident Of Mohalla - Haridaspur, P.O. Khagaul, P.S. Danapur, District - Patna
  2. Anil Kumar Sinha Son Of Mahendra Kumar Sinha Resident Of Mohalla - Haridaspur, P.O. Khagaul, P.S. Danapur, District - Patna
  3. Bangtेशwar Nath Son Of Late Ramnath Sahay Resident Of Mohalla - Haridaspur, P.O. Khagaul, P.S. Danapur, District - Patna
  4. Raj Kumar Choudhary Son Of Late Tetar Choudhary Resident Of Mohalla - Bans Kothi, P.O. Digha Ghat, P.S. Digha Ghat, District - Patna
  5. Kaushalya Devi Wife Of Late Ram Sundar Prasad Gupta Resident Of Choti Line Gumti, Fatuha, P.S. Fatuha, P.S. Gatuha, District Patna

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. Sri Rameshwar Prasad Singh, Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Old Secretariat, Patna
3. Sri Deepak Kumar, Secretary General Administration, State Of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna
4. Sri Pratya Amrit, Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bisheshwariya Bhawan, Patna
5. Sri Chandrashekhar, Deputy Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna
6. Sri Laxmi Kant Patel, Executive Engineer, New Rajdhani Road Division, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna

.... .... Opposite Parties

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Devendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Parties :

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**

**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-01-2015                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
AC to GP No. 21.

The present petition was filed with a prayer to initiate

contempt proceeding against opposite parties on an allegation of willful disobedience to an order dated 26/04/2012 passed in CWJC No. 20831 of 2010. By the said order termination of the petitioners against work charge establishment was set aside by this court and direction was issued to the respondents to consider the case of regularization and pass a speaking order.

In the present petition petitioners have brought on record Annexure - '3' whereby the opposite party/ Secretary, Road Construction Department has considered the case of regularization and passed a speaking order.

In the show cause filed on behalf of opposite party no. 1 to 3, a specific statement has been made that in compliance with the order of the writ court, petitioners have already been re-instated as work charge employee on their respective posts.


In view of the fact that in compliance with the order of the writ court petitioners have already been re-instated and a reasoned order on the point of regularization has already been passed vide Annexure - '3', there is no need to keep the matter pending.

The petition stands disposed of.

If petitioners feel aggrieved with Annexure - '3' whereby claim for regularization has been rejected, petitioners

would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

The petition stands disposed of.



Praful/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

**(Rakesh Kumar, J)**