

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2464 of 2008

=====

1. Haribansh Singh son of late Shri Ram Dayal Singh.
2. Shri Kant Singh
3. Shashi Kant Singh, both sons of Haribansh Singh
All resident of village and P.O. Babhani P.S. Kargahar, District Rohtas.
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Joint Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna (Empowered under Section 35 of the Bihar Consolidation of Holdings and Prevention of Fragmentation Act, 1956).
3. Consolidation Officer, Kargahar, District Rohtas.
4. Rameshwar Tiwari son of late Deoki Tiwary.
5. Most. Kabilasho Kuer W/O Deoki Tiwary, both resident of village and P.O. Babhani, P.S. Kargahar, District- Rohtas.
6. Smt. Babuli Devi W/O Achaibar Tiwari, resident of village- Deo Khaira, P.O. and P.S. Kargahar, District- Rohtas.
7. Smt. Suruj Devi, W/O Rajendar Pandey, resident of village- Basantpur, P.S. Kargahar, District- Rohtas.
8. Smt. Inari Devi, W/O Ram Ekbal Dubey, resident of village- Auraiya, P.S. Sheosagar, District- Rohtas.
9. Smt. Narbali Devi @ Fulkumari Devi W/O Surendra Pathak, resident of village- Bhaluni, P.S. Kargahar, District- Rohtas
Respondent No.6 to 9 are daughters of late Deoki Tiwary
aforementioned.

..... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 to 3 : Mr. Dev Kumar Pandey, AC to GP-6

For the Respondent no. 4 to 9 : Mr. Shailedra Kr. Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 21-08-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioners in support of the present writ petition.

However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 3 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 4 to 9 submit that concurrent finding of facts have been recorded by the authorities against the claim raised on behalf of the petitioners. Therefore, the present writ petition is fit to be dismissed by this Court.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--