

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12945 of 2010

=====

Jai Prakash Mishra S/O Shri Bishwa Nath Prasad Mishra, R/O Mohalla-Koat Bazar, Ward No.- 9,Sitamarhi, P.S.-Sitamarhi,District - Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Petroleum ,New Delhi.
2. The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Petroleum, New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Bihar .
4. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
5. The Chairman, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bharat Bhawan,4 and 6, Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate ,Mumbai-40001
6. The Managing Director, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bharat Bhawan,4 And 6 , Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate,Mumbai-40001.
7. The General Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bharat Bhawan, Plot No.-31,Prince Gulab Mohammad Shah Road, Golf Green,Kolkata,700095.
8. Shri Rajiva Dutta,The Area Marketing Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Patna.
9. Shri P.M.Jha, the Territory Manager,(Retail), Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Barauni, N.H.31,P.O.-Paparaur,Dist.-Begusarai.
10. Shri Dhananjay Kumar ,Sales Officer, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited,Barauni,(Ratail),Terrotory,N.H.-31,P.O.-Paparaur,Dist. Begusarai.
11. Rakesh Kumar S/O ---, R/O Moh.-Sonapatti,P.S.-Sitamarhi,Dist.-Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 & 2 : Mrs. Kanak Verma

For the Respondent No. 5 to 10: Mr. Sanjay Singh

For the Respondent No.11 : Mr. Y. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Manoj Kr. Ambastha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 01-04-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition.

However, when the matter has been taken up, a detailed counter-affidavit is being filed on behalf of the respondent no.11, which is taken on the record. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.11 submits that in view of the

averments made in the aforesaid counter-affidavit and in view of the subsequent developments, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 5 to 10, though has not filed any counter-affidavit, but he also submits that in view of the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no.11, the matter has become infructuous.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--