

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 15207 of 2008

=====

Asha Kumari Wife of Uday Pal, Resident of Village – Jamuni Dihea, P.S. –
Dhansoi, District – Buxar.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The District Welfare Officer, Buxar.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Rajpur, Buxar.
6. The Circle Officer, Rajpur, Buxar.
7. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Rasen, Block Rajpur, District Buxar.
8. Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Rasen, Block Rajpur, District – Buxar.
9. Neelam Kumari Wife of Prem Prakash Singh, resident of village – Tiwara, P.O. Ashwini, P.S. Ramgarh, District – Kaimur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jagdish Prasad 1

For the Respondent/s : AC to GA - 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4. 22-09-2015 Learned counsel for the petitioner, in presence of learned A.C. to Govt. Advocate – 5, makes a prayer for disposal of the present writ petition so that the petitioner, in view of New Guideline of Aanganbari Sevika/Sahaika, 2011, may approach the competent authority. He submits that earlier against allegation of illegality committed in selection of Aanganbari Sevika in village Jamuni Dihra under Gram Panchayat Rasen of Rajpur Block in the district of Buxar, the petitioner had raised objection before the authority concerned under the old scheme. Despite filing of

objection, no decision was taken. Accordingly, he makes a prayer for disposal of the present writ petition so that the petitioner may approach the competent authority.

The prayer is allowed.

The writ petition stands disposed of with liberty, as indicated above.

It goes without saying that if the petitioner files an objection before the competent authority within a period of six weeks from today, the authority concerned, without being prejudiced with this order, may take appropriate decision preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such objection.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--